

[Print](#)[Close](#)

David Tyll

From: **Michelle Willets** (mwillets@hotmail.com)

Sent: Thu 6/07/12 9:30 AM

To: mashton@st-thomasmore.org; jfoster@st-thomasmore.org

Dear Dr. Ashton,

I recently received a threatening letter from my brother David and my sister-in-law Jenny's attorney stating that if I contacted them or their employers, it will be perceived as harassment and they will be forced to seek legal action against me. I hadn't/don't plan on contacting them. I'm contacting you now to clarify my previous e-mail and express my concerns.

Please let me state clearly that the e-mail I sent to you was by no means intended to harass, it was intended to warn you that David and Jenny put their/our business into public view and risked damage to the school.

As a former employee of St. Thomas More and the Diocese of Raleigh, I feel protective of your school (and Blessed Sacrament School in Burlington). David and Jenny appear oblivious to the fact that my ties and responsibilities (moral and civic), go beyond just them. Generally, I put family first and have done everything possible to limit any problems for David and the school. Unfortunately, David and Jenny have insisted on closing every path to communication and reconciliation. (They appear to be trying to control/bully me and my family into silence/inaction to avoid any sort of consequence for their actions while ignoring the fact that I have other ties and responsibilities.)

My e-mails to the school have been based on my concern for the school.

I was previously vague to protect my brother. As he has blocked every path to address my concerns through him, I feel I need to address some of my concerns directly to you.

I have concerns about both David and the school.

(Please note that I will do everything possible to prevent/limit damage and it's possible that no damage occurs to David or the school. However, I have concerns that have to be addressed and David has eliminated every path where there is no possible damage.)

Issues I feel may directly affect the school:

- David has watched pornography (some very hardcore).
- David has suffered from sexual frustration (sometimes extreme).
- David has had numerous marital issues.
- David has shown very poor judgement.
- A combination of the above (and other issues) has created a dilemma for me, his sister, about how to protect David's children and the students of STM.

- David got a restraining order against his brother-in-law.
- Scandal or parental concern based on one or more of the above.

David has watched pornography (some very hardcore):

David's personal pornography collection was mainly clips that focused strongly on the act of men using women (just F---ing, no romance, love, etc.). His wife made it clear she viewed pornography as akin to adultery, yet David chose to sneak porn into his house throughout his marriage. Not only that, he stored his porn at his mother's and occasionally borrowed from her porn collection.

David has suffered from sexual frustration (sometimes extreme):

Over the course of his marriage, David has on different occasions, expressed his sexual frustration due to his wife's neglect of his needs. He told me (after the birth of the twins) that Jenny had said that "nothing was going in or out until July" - indicating that there would be no sex for seven months (I have no idea if that is what actually happened, but he did not seem to take it as a joke).

David has had numerous marital issues:

As David's use of pornography and sexual frustration demonstrates, David and Jenny ignored each other's needs and ideals. Their relationship appears to be largely based on deceit, disregard, and lack of respect. Jenny emasculates David in front of his children, family, and friends while David appears to accept his role as simply bearing Jenny's "abuse".

David has shown very poor judgement:

In addition to the poor judgement outlined above, David has recently embraced attacking his own family (my husband and me). David has gone to great lengths to limit/destroy all efforts at communication and resolution. David has always had a very easy path to reconciliation with us (simple communication), but has consistently chosen to escalate and ignore the problems he created and maintained (David asked Joey what he would do if the "ban" was lifted and they came face to face. Joey told him he would give him a hug - that there was no problem with David; David responded that there was a "big problem"). He appears to be set on attacking and destroying his real love and support foundation to protect a fake image and avoid the consequences of his own actions. I had even "severed" ties with David and Jenny (and notified them that their fake image was safe) and the next day, they filed a restraining order against my husband; the only day David communicated with both of us was the day you received the e-mail from my husband (David claimed he was going to continue to communicate, but apparently was working to do the opposite).

A combination of the above (and other issues) has created a dilemma for me, his sister, about how to protect David's children and the students of STM:

At Christmas, I noticed some disturbing behavior from one of my nieces - I worry of possible abuse (physical, mental, or sexual). Due to David's issues as outlined above, I can't eliminate him as a possible source of abuse. I understand enough about male sexuality to fear the possibilities that exist when someone is under the "absolute" authority of a parent/teacher/coach who is sexually frustrated. I have no evidence of any wrongdoing by David or anyone else in this situation but based on David's severing communication, I have no way of directly addressing my concern. I am currently struggling on whether to contact Social Services based on this concern and others (not related to the school). It goes without saying, my feelings about potential dangers extend to students as well as family.

David got a restraining order against his brother-in-law:

As outlined above, rather than work toward resolution, David attacked all lines of communication.

He created a situation where there can be no resolution. He took practically everything listed above and made it public in a courtroom (and in court filings). Case # 12 CV 000755 - Orange County District Court

Scandal or parental concern based on one or more of the above:

Scandal and parental concern would be the natural result of any one of the above items. Some things like David getting porn from his mother or possibly being a danger could spread rapidly though the community. Even knowing a teacher got a restraining order (against a family member) could be a source of extreme concern for many parents. Issues like David's judgement may not be major, but coupled with use of porn or sexual frustration, and parents may be more concerned.

I want to believe that David would never be a danger to his children or his students, but he is human and he has recently done numerous things that I would never have thought possible. I believe any man in a similar situation could be a danger, David less so than most (however, in my opinion, the same traits that make David less "dangerous" in a similar situation have allowed this situation to grow much worse than the average man would have allowed).

Sincerely,
--Michelle Willets